

Montana Community Health Worker Dialogue

May 28, 2015

Helena, MT

Speaker/Facilitator: *Carl Rush, MRP, Research Affiliate for the Project on Community Health Worker Policy and Practice, a part of the University of Texas Institute for Health Policy.* Email – carl@chrllc.net

Why we are meeting

- Community Health Worker interest in Montana
- National Perspectives on CHWs (Carl Rush)

Definitions and Standards

- Definition adopted by American Public Health Association: “A community health worker is a frontline public health worker who is a **trusted member** of and/or has an unusually close understanding of the community served. This trusting relationship enables the worker to serve as a liaison/link/intermediary between health/social services and the community to facilitate access to services and improve the quality and cultural competence of service delivery.”
 - Montana’s State Health Dept. and State Labor Dept. need to accept/adopt definition and work together
- “A community health worker also **builds individual and community capacity** by increasing health knowledge and self-sufficiency through a range of activities such as outreach, community education, informal counseling, social support and advocacy.”
- CHWs are unlike any health related professions
 - Challenge is to understand that CHW view is very broad
 - Do not provide clinical care – assist with non-medical needs
 - Generally do not hold another professional license
 - Expertise is based on *shared* life experience and usually culture within the population served
 - Rely on relationships and trust more than on clinical expertise
 - Relate to community members as peers rather than purely as client
 - Can achieve certain results more effectively than other professionals
 - Uniquely able to “work both sides of the street – skilled at community-level and patient-level strategies

Why CHWs now?

- The “Triple Aim”
 - Improving patient experience of care (quality and satisfaction)
 - Improving the health of populations
 - Reducing the per capita cost of health care
- What’s missing or broken within our system?
 - **Relationships and communication**
- CHWs Address Social Determinants

Curriculum and Training

- Must Consider Key Dynamics to be Successful Training Program
 - Unique nature of CHW workforce
 - Dynamics of CHW labor market
 - Emerging consensus of definitions and standards
 - Other necessary aspects of policy infrastructure

Supervisors/instructors need to be trained on program in order to implement successfully and role model the relationship they expect CHWs to have with community members (patients)

- Training
 - Has to fit policy and infrastructure
 - Central importance of practicum/internship – apprenticeship models
 - Use performance-based assessment
 - Interpersonal skills
 - Most students require financial aid or employer subsidy
 - Ongoing dialogue about knowledge base requirements and pre-hire vs. in-service learning

Employment Opportunities

- CHW Labor Market has unusual dynamics
 - History of marginalization and isolation
 - Dominant pattern of “siloed” financing thru short term grants and contracts
 - Unique presence of volunteers
 - Diversity of roles
 - ...but specific jobs often narrowly defined
 - Central importance of “community membership” or shared life experience as a qualification
 - ...not everyone can be a CHW
 - ...and employers will hire the “right person” first and then train them – no conventional pipeline
 - Healthcare payers are interested in CHWs
 - “Hot-spotters” – better care for “super-utilizers”
 - Chronic disease management
 - Improving birth outcomes
 - Cancer screening and navigation
 - Care transitions
 - Based on shared experience – not for those coming out of high school or college
 - Interest with older individuals who have life experiences

CHW Policy and Financing

- 4 interrelated policy areas affect CHWs
 - Occupational definition (agreement on scope of practice and skill requirements)
 - Sustainable financing models
 - Workforce development (training capacity/resources)
 - Documentation, research and data standards (records, evidence of effectiveness and “ROI”) – often neglected
- Certification
 - Declaration by issuing authority that an individual has necessary skills
 - NOT the same as an educational “certificate of completion”
 - Issuing authority: government, educational, association or employer-based; does NOT have to be the State government

- A *responsive* CHW certification system has:
 - Multiple paths to entry, including based on experience (“grandfathering”)
 - User friendly application process without unnecessary barriers of education, language, citizenship status
 - Required education available in familiar, accessible settings
 - Skills taught using appropriate methods (adult/popular education)
 - Easy access to CEUs, distance learning
 - Respect for volunteer CHWs – “first, do no harm!”
 - Financing, models, etc.
 - NASHP webinar - <https://www.statereforum.org/integrating-chws>
- Key principles in policy change
 - Minimize barriers of language, education level, citizenship status, and life experience
 - Encourage contracting with community-based organizations for CHWs’ services
 - Remember **not all CHWs work in healthcare!**
 - Again, respect volunteers
- Stakeholders
 - Every state needs to have awareness campaign for stakeholders – 90% of employers probably does not know what a CHW is or does
- Federal agencies are increasing support for CHW strategies
 - CDC, HRSA support for state policy change
 - CMMI grantee learning collaboratives
 - HHS CHW Inter Interagency Work Group
 - CMS-CDC discussions
- Medicaid Preventative Service rules have changed
 - 78 FR 135 p. 42306: 7/15/13 – (effective Jan. 2014)
§ 440.130 Diagnostic, screening, preventative, and rehabilitative service
 - “*Preventive services* means services **recommended** by a physician or other licensed practitioner...” (previously read “**provided** by”)
 - Brings rules into conformance with ACA
 - Commentary clearly reflects interest in funding services by CHWs and other “non-licensed” providers
 - Payment for CHW services will no longer need to be treated as admin costs
- Taking Advantage of Medicaid Rule Change
 - Medicaid State Plan Amendment – must specify:
 - What non-licensed occupations are covered, and qualifications (skill requirements)
 - not necessarily certification
 - What services will be paid for (CPT codes), and what categories of Medicaid recipients may receive them
 - Rates and mechanisms of payment (FFS, MCO, bundled payment, etc.)

Standards, Metrics, Documentation and Evaluation

- Documentation of CHW activity has not been a high priority
 - Historically separate from medical records
 - Lack of common metrics has hampered pooling and comparison of data
 - No coherent research strategy exists
 - Example: CMMI Innovation Grants
 - Increasing recognition of beneficial CHW roles in research (CBPR)
 - Value of CHW observations for clinicians is being recognized

- Adapting to the CHW workforce:
 - Equipping CHWs to document and report appropriately
 - User-friendly documentation tools for field work
- Other initiatives on CHW research
 - Institute for Clinical Economic Research 2013 report
 - PCORI planning national conference to fill evidence gaps

Next Steps – Strategic Planning

- **Keep engaged – AHEC’s CHW Listserv, etc.**
- **Maintain infrastructure at state level – PCORI grant, payers need to be involved**
- **Montana Healthcare Foundation**
 - **collaborative funding source**
- **Currently in process of creating Environmental Scan of Montana’s Community Health Workers – collecting data from survey**
- **Review training and curriculum development materials used in other states instead of creating new – create sustainable model**